

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/720,629	11/24/2003	Preston F. Crow	EMC-99-027DIV1	EMC-99-027DIV1 4258		
24227	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	PORATION	LY, A	LY, ANH			
OFFICE OF 176 SOUTH	THE GENERAL COUNSI STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
HOPKINTON, MA 01748			2162			
		DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			<b>~</b>			~ T			
Examinor Ant Ly 2162  - The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederations of time may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or even, however, may a reply be timely filed  • If the period for reply is evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or even, however, may a reply be timely filed  • If the period for reply is period above, the manifum statutory printing that the period in the statutory printing of the period of the communication of the communicati			Application No.		Applicant(s)	100			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state administer of thing (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state administer of the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state administer of the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state developed the state of the communication.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state developed the state of the state of the communication.  If the period for reply specified shows is less than thity (30) says, a reply within the state developed the state of the			10/720,629		CROW ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be evaluable used to be provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extension of time may be revisible to the many of the period for reply specified above is less what hirty (30 days, a reply whith the statutory minimum of birty (50) alony will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less what hirty (30 days, a reply whith the statutory minimum of birty (50) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallels to neph whith the set or extended parietie for reply will, by statuto, cause the application to become ABANDONED (30 U.S.C. § 113).  Period of the period for reply specified above is less what the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1 ∑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.  2a ∑ This action is FINAL. 2b ∑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ∑ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application.  4 ∑ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.  5 ∑ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.  6 ∑ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7 ∑ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7 ∑ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7 ∑ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  4 ∑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)∑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  4 ∑ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)∑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  4 ∑ Application Papers  9 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attache		Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederateians of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Ederateians of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Ederateians of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Ederateians of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filled  Ederateians of lines may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely filled  If NO period for reply section down to be used to the statutory minimum of bitiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply section developed between the mailing date of this extension of the provision of the statutory minimum of the provision of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce ally seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  I) SR Responsive to communication(s) filled on 09 May 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 16-22 and 28-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 16-20 and 28-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 16-20 and 28-28 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 16-20 and 28-28 is/are ejected.  Claim(s) 16-20 and 28-28 is/are ejected.  Paplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request th	· <u>-</u>				-				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Edate-inition of tilm may be smalled under the provision of 37 CPR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed other SX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is late than this (75) days, it is established.  Failve to reply within the set or extended prize of the reply within the actualizery invientment of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  Failve to reply within the set or extended prize during the set or extended prize of the communication.  Failve to reply within the set or extended prize during the set or extended prize of the communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. 2, 133).  Any reply received by the Office atter than three mentiling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search platent term adjustment. Set 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on @9 May 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ○ Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  8) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The paper specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers No. S. C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign			pears on the cove	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 May 2005.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	THE   - External effer   - If the   - If NO   - Failu   Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howeverther within the statutory minurial apply and will expire to cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final. 3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:	Status								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final. 3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)  Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2   Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	lay 2005.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)				al.					
Application of Claims  4) Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	3)□	, <u> </u>							
4)  Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		closed in accordance with the practice under $\boldsymbol{E}$	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4)🖂	Claim(s) 16-28 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.		•				
6) Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consider	ation.					
7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5)⊠	Claim(s) 21-25 is/are allowed.							
Application Papers  9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 16-20 and 26-28 is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Applicati	ion Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 11/244/2003 is/are: a)[	accepted or b	objected to b	y the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if th	e drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the	attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	12)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	eived.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Attachment(s)  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.		2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	eived in Applicati	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents ha	ave been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	ppies not receive	ed.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Attachmen	t(s)							
			4) 🗌						
			<u>د</u> ا			0.152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:		mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date			areur wholication (PT)	J-192)			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,629 Page 2

Art Unit: 2162

### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This Office Action is response to Applicants' Amendment filed on 05/09/2005.
- 2. Claims 1-15 were cancelled.
- Claims 26-28 are added.
- 4. Claims 16-28 are pending in this Application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/720,629 Page 3

Art Unit: 2162

7. Claims 16-20 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pub. No. US: 2004/0133570 A1 of Soltis in view of US Patent No. 4,761,737 issued to Duvall et al. (hereinafter Duvall).

With respect to claim 16, Soltis teaches a memory storage system having devices organized in physical data blocks for physical storage of data and at least one processor including an operating system having an extent based file system for abstracting file names to the physical data blocks in the devices by assigning an inode to each file (abstract, figs. 1 & 2, sections 0010, 0026 and 0030); and

each inode adapted to store extents having a field to point to a logical volume at least two of the extent being direct extents indicating a logical volume containing data blocks (abstract, , sections 0010, 0055, 0062, and 0079; also see sections 0126-0127).

Soltis teaches shared file system over a distributed network attaching storage devices from existing file system being in the Unix based operating system (section 0035) in the shared storage distributed file systems, which are required to map to the data blocks stored on the storage devices. The distributed file system consists of inode files, which contain a list of extents that address data blocks, and logical volume from the NAS devices partitioned into multiple segments for allocation and de-allocation file data (sections 0062, 0073 and 0076). Also Soltis teaches direct extents for addressing of the data and direct pointers in the NAS devices. Soltis does not clearly teach a first direct extent pointing to second data blocks in the data storage devices, the first direct extent indicating a different logical volume than a second direct extent.

Art Unit: 2162

However, Duvall teaches UNIX file system residing on mass storage devices including a plurality of sequence of blocks, which compose the file system as physical data blocks for the storage devices (col. 2, lines 46-61 and col. 4, lines 53-67) and system's processor or microprocessor (col. 3, lines 57-67 and col. 4, lines 28-41) and direct inode contains up to ten block of addresses and level of blocks (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 3, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Soltis with the teachings of Duvall, wherein the storage system for physical storage of data in the system provided therein (Soltis's figs 1& 2), would incorporate the use of direct extent and indirect extent pointing to the third data blocks storing a third direct extent, in the same conventional manner as described by Duvall (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 53-67). The motivation being to have a multiple of storage devices having a plurality of UNIX based file systems for easing or more flexible for extending the data file and enabling to access the same copy of user data without the need for special operating systems.

With respect to claim 17, Soltis teaches a memory storage system as discussed in claim 16.

Soltis teaches shared file system over a distributed network attaching storage devices from existing file system being in the Unix based operating system (section 0035) in the shared storage distributed file systems, which are required to map to the data blocks stored on the storage devices. The distributed file system consists of inode files, which contain a list of extents that address data blocks, and logical volume from

Art Unit: 2162

the NAS devices partitioned into multiple segments for allocation and de-allocation file data (sections 0062, 0073 and 0076). Also Soltis teaches direct extents for addressing of the data and direct pointers in the NAS devices. Soltis does not clearly teach at least one indirect extent pointing to third data blocks storing a third direct extent.

However, Duvall teaches mapping disk block, the segment start address of the map (col. 6, lines 40-50; also see col. 16, lines 55-67) UNIX file system residing on mass storage devices including a plurality of sequence of blocks, which compose the file system as physical data blocks for the storage devices (col. 2, lines 46-61 and col. 4, lines 53-67) and system's processor or microprocessor (col. 3, lines 57-67 and col. 4, lines 28-41) and direct inode contains up to ten block of addresses and level of blocks (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 3, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Soltis with the teachings of Duvall, wherein the storage system for physical storage of data in the system provided therein (Soltis's figs 1& 2), would incorporate the use of direct extent and indirect extent pointing to the third data blocks storing a third direct extent, in the same conventional manner as described by Duvall (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 53-67). The motivation being to have a multiple of storage devices having a plurality of UNIX based file systems for easing or more flexible for extending the data file and enabling to access the same copy of user data without the need for special operating systems.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,629

Art Unit: 2162

With respect to claim 18, Soltis teaches wherein each extent further includes a field to indicate whether the extent points to a block of extents or a block of data (sections 0076, 0078-0079).

With respect to claim 19, Soltis teaches a memory storage system as discussed in claim 16.

Soltis teaches shared file system over a distributed network attaching storage devices from existing file system being in the Unix based operating system (section 0035) in the shared storage distributed file systems, which are required to map to the data blocks stored on the storage devices. The distributed file system consists of inode files, which contain a list of extents that address data blocks, and logical volume from the NAS devices partitioned into multiple segments for allocation and de-allocation file data (sections 0062, 0073 and 0076). Also Soltis teaches direct extents for addressing of the data and direct pointers in the NAS devices. Soltis does not clearly teach comprises a start address field and a length field, the start address field including a pointer to a logical volume portion and a pointer to a data block in the logical volume, and the length field fixing the number of consecutive data blocks pointed to by the extent.

However, Duvall teaches the segment start address of the map (col. 6, lines 40-50; also see col. 16, lines 55-67) and the length of a segment (col. 19, lines 17-40 and col. 17, lines 47-52) and field for segment of the mapped file (col. 18, lines 6-20), and direct inode contains up to ten block of addresses and level of blocks (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 3, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Soltis with the teachings of Duvall, wherein the storage system for physical storage of data in the system provided therein (Soltis's figs 1& 2), would incorporate the use of direct extent and indirect extent pointing to the third data blocks storing a third direct extent, in the same conventional manner as described by Duvall (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 53-67). The motivation being to have a multiple of storage devices having a plurality of UNIX based file systems for easing or more flexible for extending the data file and enabling to access the same copy of user data without the need for special operating systems.

With respect to claim 20, Soltis teaches the operating system being a UNIX based system (section 0035).

With respect to claim 26, Soltis teaches in a memory storage device employing an operating system comprising instructions for a method for storing data files, the method comprising: writing a plurality of extents to an inode assigned to a file, including first and second direct extents (abstract, section 0003, 0004-0005 and 0007);

writing data to first and second physical data blocks (sections 0066, 0076 and 0078-0079); and

writing at least one extent to the third physical data block, the at least one extent pointing to a physical data block storing a segment of the file (sections 0106-0107 and 0113-0115).

Soltis teaches shared file system over a distributed network attaching storage devices from existing file system being in the Unix based operating system (section

Art Unit: 2162

0035) in the shared storage distributed file systems, which are required to map to the data blocks stored on the storage devices. The distributed file system consists of inode files, which contain a list of extents that address data blocks, and logical volume from the NAS devices partitioned into multiple segments for allocation and de-allocation file data (sections 0062, 0073 and 0076). Also Soltis teaches direct extents for addressing of the data and direct pointers in the NAS devices. Soltis does not clearly teach said first and second direct extents pointing to the first and second physical data blocks; inserting an indirect extent in an inode between the first and second direct extents, the indirect extent pointing to a third physical data block;

However, Duvall teaches UNIX file system residing on mass storage devices including a plurality of sequence of blocks, which compose the file system as physical data blocks for the storage devices (col. 2, lines 46-61 and col. 4, lines 53-67) and system's processor or microprocessor (col. 3, lines 57-67 and col. 4, lines 28-41) and direct inode contains up to ten block of addresses and level of blocks (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 3, lines 1-6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Soltis with the teachings of Duvall, wherein the storage system for physical storage of data in the system provided therein (Soltis's figs 1& 2), would incorporate the use of direct extent and indirect extent pointing to the third data blocks storing a third direct extent, in the same conventional manner as described by Duvall (col. 2, lines 62-67 and col. 4, lines 53-67). The motivation being to have a multiple of storage devices having a plurality of UNIX based

file systems for easing or more flexible for extending the data file and enabling to access the same copy of user data without the need for special operating systems.

With respect to claim 27, Soltis teaches writing a length field to each extent, the length field fixing the number of consecutive data blocks pointed to by the extent (several consecutive blocks: sections 0010 and 0079).

With respect to claim 28, Soltis teaches the operating system being a UNIX-based System (section 0035).

8. Claims 21-25 are allowed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The claimed invention is directed to a distributed storage system having a plurality of processors and a plurality of data storage devices. The system comprises an indirect extent being inserted in the inode between the **first and second** direct extents, the indirect extent pointing to third physical data blocks in the data storage devices, and at least one extent being written to the **third** physical data blocks, the at least one extent pointing to **fourth** physical data blocks and each of the first, second and fourth data blocks storing a segment of the file. Since these distinct features, the claim 21 and its all-dependent claims, 22-25 are allowable.

### **Contact Information**

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV or fax to (571) 273-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner

Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, or faxed to: Central Fax Center (571) 273-8300

ANH LY JUL. 20<sup>th</sup>, 2005